BARON B BUDD, P.C.°



in toxic torts including asbestos injury" ~ "claims to have recovered \$23 million" ~ "Dallas' Ban & Budd has won national recognition..." ~ "...representing individuals and municipalities injured by exposure to hazardous substances, particularly asbestos." ~ "...has recovered hundreds of million ollars in verdicts and settlements." ~ "At present it represents some 180 municipalities, water provend private well owners" ~ "Baron & Budd is 100% dedicated to representing those harmed by corporation of the conduct o

covered hundreds of millions of dollars in verdicts and settlements." \sim "At present it represents some

THE PLAINTIFFS' OT LIST

Baron & Budd 2011

The Deepwater Horizon oil spill. Shoddy drywall. Bad actions by banks. This Dallas firm has established a reputation as an advocate in some of the hottest litigation going. It is especially well regarded for its work on behalf of mesothelioma victims, for whom Baron & Budd has secured hundreds of millions of dollars in settlements and verdicts, including the largest asbestos win—\$55 million. In the pending oil spill multidistrict litigation, it represents the state of Louisiana and has a seat on the plaintiffs' executive committee.

NOTEWORTHY CASES:

• In re Checking Account Overdraft Litig., MDL No. 2036 (S.D. Fla.). The crux of this case is the alleged manipulation of data by banks to increase overdraft fee revenue. The firm helped obtain a \$410 million settlement with Bank of America Corp., the largest financial institution involved. General litigation practice leader Bruce Steckler served on the plaintiffs' executive steering committee with attorneys from Grossman Roth, Hanly Conroy Bierstein Sheridan Fisher & Hayes, the Alters Law Firm and Podhurst Orseck. The suit accused the banks of manipulating

the timing of debit card transactions so they could soak customers for overdraft fees. Besides the monetary recovery, the litigation forced banks across the country to change their overdraft policies—they no longer offer "courtesy" overdraft protection or "re-ordering debits."

- City of San Diego v. Hotels.com L.P., No. GIC 8611117 (San Diego Co., Calif., Sup. Ct.). Plaintiffs' counsel Laura Baughman and Thomas Sims won a \$21.2 million award for the City of San Diego. Travel companies allegedly shortchanged the city by millions of dollars in hotel occupancy taxes for their online bookings. Baron & Budd is working on 40 similar suits involving other cities. Baron's litigation group participated in strategic planning, working with co-counsel from Kiesel, Boucher & Larson and McKool Smith and the San Diego city attorney's office.
- Henderson v. The Dow Chemical Co., No. 10-07003 (Dallas Co., Texas, Dist. Ct.). John Langdoc and Alana Kalantzakis won a \$9 million verdict against Dow for the family of a Dow contract employee who was exposed to insulation containing asbestos, with fatal consequences. Asbestos litigation practice chief Steve Baron said that Dow refused to settle, "so we presented our case to the jury."

Baron & Budd 2008

Baron & Budd comprises 50 attorneys in offices in Dallas; Beverly Hills, Calif.; Baton Rouge, La.; and Austin, Texas. Founded in 1977, Baron & Budd is 100% dedicated to representing those harmed by corporate misconduct, with a primary focus on toxic torts including asbestos and methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE) litigation. In 2006, it served on the negotiating committee that secured a \$3.9 billion settlement with United States Gypsum Co.

NOTEWORTHY CASES:

• In re W.R. Grace & Co., No. 01-01139 (Bankr. D. Del.). Co-lead counsel Russell Budd and Steve Baron. The firm was one of four that served on the negotiating committee that in April reached a \$2.87 billion settlement with W.R. Grace & Co. on behalf of asbestos claimants. W.R. Grace was forced into bankruptcy in 2001 by asbestos liabilities and the trust negotiated by the firms will pay out the claims.

- In re Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether Prod. Liab. Litig., No. MDL-1358 (S.D.N.Y.). Co-lead counsel Scott Summy, Celeste Evangelisti, Cary McDougal, Laura Baughman, Carla Burke and Stephen Johnston. Baron & Budd was involved in all stages and represented all of the settling plaintiffs in multidistrict litigation over the contamination of drinking water by a foul-tasting fuel additive. In May 2008, the firm reached a \$422 million settlement with major gasoline refiners on behalf of 153 water providers in 17 states. The refiners made a 30-year commitment to clean up contaminated wells.
- Environmental Law Found. v. Laidlaw Transit Inc., No. CGC-06-451832 (San Francisco Co., Calif., Super. Ct.). Lead counsel Laura Baughman and Thomas Sims. Baron & Budd reached a \$34.9 million settlement on behalf of three environmental organizations to provide California's school children with newer buses that meet current pollution emission standards.

Baron & Budd makes the Hot List 7 years

Baron & Budd 2006

Founded in Dallas in 1977, Baron & Budd specializes in toxic torts including asbestos injury; it helped write the casebook on groundwater contamination. At present it represents some 180 municipalities, water providers and private well owners across 19 states suing over contaminants including methyl tertiary-butyl ether. The 80-attorney firm also maintains offices in California, Ohio, Louisiana and New York.

NOTEWORTHY CASES:

• In re USG Corp., No. 01-2094-JKF (U.S. Bankr. Ct., D. Del.). Co-lead counsel Russell Budd was one of the negotiators for the asbestos creditors' committee that secured a \$3.9 billion settlement with the bankrupt United States Gypsum Co. It was one of the largest bankruptcy settlements on record.

- In re 7-Eleven Inc. Shareholders Litig., No. 05-08944-M (Dallas Co., Texas, Dist. Ct.). Lead counsel Randall K. Pulliam. The firm represented shareholders attempting to force the company to pay more to take the corporation private. The company ultimately agreed to boost its tender offer by \$5 per share, worth \$145 million to the shareholders.
- Bostic v. Metropolitan Life Ins. Co., No. CC-03-01977-C (Dallas Co., Texas, Ct. at Law). Lead counsel Charla Aldous and Chris Panatier. This was the second plaintiffs' verdict in the case—the first was vacated—and brought \$13.5 million to an East Texas man who died of mesothelioma at 41 following exposure to asbestos as a child.

Baron & Budd 2005

Baron & Budd stayed in the limelight representing clients as varied as a Mississippi oysterman, scores of municipal governments and alumni of the Beverly Hills High School in claims involving toxic chemical exposure. With more than 80 attorneys in Texas, Illinois, Ohio, Louisiana and New York, it claims to have recovered \$23 million in asbestos verdicts thus far in 2005. The Dallas-based firm seems assured of maintaining its high profile, having secured a key ruling in April allowing local authorities and other plaintiffs in 18 states to pursue products liability claims against petroleum refiners arising from MTBE contamination. Another widely reported pending case involves cancers attributed to oil drilling on the fabled high school's grounds; it goes to trial early next year.

NOTEWORTHY CASES:

• Strong v. E.I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., No. 2005-57-CV3 (Jones Co., Miss., Cir. Ct.). Lead attorney Allen Stewart. A jury awarded \$15.5 million on Aug. 26 to an oysterman who allegedly contracted cancer through exposure to dioxin released from a Gulfport, Miss., chemical plant into the air in the surrounding neighborhood and St. Louis Bay. The firm argued he was exposed to the toxin through consuming contaminated oysters and other environmental exposure. This is the bellwether case for more than

2,000 claims brought by the plant's neighbors.

- Bostic v. Metropolitan Life Ins. Co., No. CC-03-01977-C (Dallas Co., Texas, Ct. at Law No. 3). Co-lead attorneys Charla Aldous and Chris Panatier. A jury awarded \$9.3 million against Georgia-Pacific Corp. for a man who died at 41 from mesothelioma attributed to his exposure to asbestos while working with his father as a boy. Within six weeks, the firm would secure a \$10 million verdict for a Los Angeles Navy veteran who developed cancer after being exposed to asbestos during his World War II service and as a Navy contractor following the war, and a \$3.6 million verdict for a former chemical plant worker in Louisiana who died from cancer attributed to asbestos exposure.
- CBE v. Tosco Corp., No. 300595 (San Francisco Co., Calif., Super. Ct.). Co-lead attorneys Scott Summy and Laura Baughman. Baron & Budd reached settlements in March and September 2004 requiring oil companies including ExxonMobil Corp., Shell Oil Co., Atlantic Richfield Co. and ChevronTexaco to upgrade gas stations, clean up groundwater contamination and take steps to prevent gasoline leaking from more than 1,000 underground storage tanks in California. The monetary and injunctive relief was valued at \$107 million.

Baron & Budd 2004

Dallas' Baron & Budd has won national recognition representing individuals and municipalities injured by exposure to hazardous substances, particularly asbestos. Founded in 1977, the 78-lawyer firm has recovered hundreds of millions of dollars in verdicts and settlements. It has offices in Cleveland; Baton Rouge, La.; Canton, N.Y.; and Glen Carbon, Ill.

NOTEWORTHY CASES:

• City of Santa Monica v. Shell Oil (Orange Co., Calif., Super. Ct. 2003), lead attorneys Fred Baron and Scott Summy. The firm secured

- a \$312.8 million settlement from a dozen oil companies accused of polluting drinking water with the gasoline additive MTBE.
- Blandford v. Garlock Sealing Technologies (Cuyahoga Co., Ohio, Ct. C.P. 2003), lead attorney Ladd Gibke. A jury returned a verdict of \$6.4 million to the family of a pipe-and-steam-fitter who died of asbestos-related mesothelioma.
- Ytuarte v. Quigley (El Paso Co., Texas, Ct. at Law_2004), lead attorney Al Stewart. The firm won a \$5.2 million verdict for the family of a tradesman who died of asbestos-related mesothelioma.

Baron & Budd 2003

Sentences were excerpted from The National Law Journal, "The Plaintiffs' Hot List, Featured Firm - Baron & Budd," 7/21/2003, by David Hechler

NOTEWORTHY MENTIONS:

- And by any measure, Baron & Budd, which celebrated its 25th anniversary last year, is one of the country's most successful firms.
- Its specialty is toxic torts. The product that turned it into one of the largest plaintiffs' shops anywhere is asbestos.
- It has all the assets and resources of a big defense firm.

Baron & Budd 2002

THE WINNINGEST FIRMS IN THE U.S.

NLJ LITIGATION 50

Disparate firms top 2001 list

NLJ verdict survey shows two that topped \$1 billion.

By Margaret Cronin Fisk STAFF REPORTER

THE "WINNINGEST" law firms in the United States are a decidedly disparate lot.

Solo origins

Many of the firms started as solo practices or small partnerships by attorneys with minimal experience and little or no financial backing. Others were started by seasoned refugees from other traditional or plaintiffs' law firms. Most of the firms are fairly small; three are solo practitioners. But eight firms have more than 20 lawyers and one firm, Dallas-based Baron & Budd, has 80 attorneys.

The National Law Journal's Litigation 50 is a survey of the "winningest" trial firms of 2001, based on the gross amount of money awarded by juries during trials concluded between Jan. 1, 2001, and Dec. 31, 2001. A firm's ranking is based on the total amount from all cases tried to a verdict before a jury, but does not include any money obtained through settlements or through bench trials. The ranking also does not take into account any post-trial changes in the judgment, subsequent settlements or the ultimate chances of collection—just the amount the jury awarded.

To determine the rankings, the NLJ sent out surveys to every law firm involved in the 100 largest jury verdicts Total won: \$59,825,000 Attorneys: 80 Firm name: Baron & Budd, Dallas, Texas Largest verdict: \$55,515,000,

Hernandez v. Kelly-Moore Paint Co.



of 2001 and every firm we could determine, through searches of VerdictSearch.com and other databases, that had won at least one \$5 million verdict in 2001. These surveys, sent to more than 300 firms, were supplemented by additional research, including calls to the various firms, to determine every verdict won by each firm in 2001. If multiple firms participated together in a single trial, we gave each firm credit for the full amount of the verdict.

Not every firm responded to the survey. Where firms did not respond, we used information in "The 100 Largest Verdicts of 2001," published in the NLJ's Feb. 4, 2002, issue, articles in various other publications and reports in VerdictSearch and other compilers of verdicts. Despite this additional research, the final numbers do not include all verdicts. Many verdicts are not reported by this publication, or by anyone else.

Overall, the Litigation 50 made one thing clear: In 2001, juries found the lawyers in these firms incredibly persuasive.

Fisk's e-mail address is michwriter@aol.com.

Baron & Budd is one of the largest and most accomplished plaintiffs' law firms in the country. Since it was founded in 1977, Baron & Budd has garnered national acclaim for championing the rights of people and communities harmed by corporate misconduct. Baron & Budd's mission is Protecting What's Right® through its work in the following areas:

- · Asbestos/Mesothelioma
- Water Contamination
- Gulf Oil Spill
- Whistleblower
- Commercial Litigation
- Consumer Scams
- California Prop 65
- Securities Fraud
- Overtime Violations (FLSA)
- Pharmaceutical
- Chinese Drywall
- Brazilian Blowout
- Online Hotel Taxes
- Hearing Loss

"Being part of THE NATIONAL LAW JOURNAL'S

Hot List is a real honor, and a reflection of

both the importance of our firm's work and the

caliber of our legal team."

- Baron & Budd managing shareholder, Russell Budd

www.baronandbudd.com 1.866.844.4556 main office: Dallas, TX Austin, TX Baton Rouge, LA Los Angeles, CA Miami, FL